

Sacramento City Unified School District

sr0 0 612 792 reW*>eU> BDC q0i

No work for which a contractor is required to be licensed in accordance with Article 5 (commencing with Section 7065) of Chapter 9 of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code, and for which Division of the State Architect approval is required, can be performed before receipt of the required Division of the State Architect approval.

- e-Builder for all Contract Administration responsibilities. District will be responsible for providing user licenses. LLB Entity will be responsible for the Internet connectivity on site.

While it is the intent of the District that the LLB Entity selected to perform the Preconstruction Services will continue on to perform the Construction and Post-Construction Services under terms of the Facilities Lease and Site Lease, the District reserves the absolute right not to proceed with the construction of the Project, whether under lease-leaseback format or at all

<p style="text-align: center;">Proposal, including without limitation in exhibits and Attachments</p> <p>Failure to include such a certification shall make the Proposal non-responsive and unavailable for award.</p>	
<p>website to ensure that they have received all addenda.</p>	Pass/Fail
<p>3. Respondents must hold a California Contractors License, Class B General Building Contractor State License Board. Provide the following information for the license:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Name of license holder exactly as on file b. License Classification c. License Number d. Date Issued e. Expiration Date 	Pass/Fail

4. General information about your firm, including number of employees, type of business

- d. Payment by the Respondent of liquidated damages of \$100,000 or more on any contract in the last five (5) years. (Less 1 point per incident. The District retains discretion to subtract additional points for any incident in which over \$150,000 in liquidated damages was paid, up to a maximum of 5 points.)
- e.

8. Identify the key personnel proposed to work on the Project, providing the names and levels of responsibility of the pre-construction manager, day-to-day project manager, superintendent, and project engineer. **Emphasize specifics as it relates to experience with projects that are a) Value of project is \$60M+, b) Both New Construction and Modernization, c) Multi-phased, and d) Occupied site.** Attach résumés for all identified key staff. Projects referenced on résumés should include contract value, start and finish dates, and delivery method (*e.g.*, design-build, lease-leaseback, construction manager at

The assigned point values will be based on the following personnel:

- a. Pre-construction Manager (15 Points)
- b. Full-time on-site Superintendent (20 Points)
- c. Full-time Project Manager (

10. Describe in detail your experience, approach, and methods for carrying out Preconstruction Services, including without limitation the input and participation of

- d. A letter from insurance company indicating ability to provide insurance.
- e.

Maximum Fee Proposal Points: 45 Points

TOTAL POTENTIAL POINTS for RFP: 395 Points

VI. Selection Process

1. The purpose of this Request for Proposals is to enable the Sacramento City Unified School District to select the firm offering the best value to the District for award of a lease-leaseback instrument under the provisions of Education Code section 17406.
2. The District will use the qualifications-based selection process outlined below.
3. A review and selection committee composed of key District officials and consultants will review and evaluate all Proposals based on the technical scoring criteria identified in Section V above and will conduct interviews as appropriate. The evaluation team first will evaluate the Qualifications / Technical portion of the submitted Proposals to determine whether they meet the format and content requirements and the standards specified in the RFP.
4. All compliant Proposals will be scored using the technical criteria identified above. The evaluation team will not open the contents of the sealed fee proposal during this part of the evaluation.
5. After the evaluation team scores all Proposals, each Proposal shall be assigned a technical score for each factor scores.
6. The technical scores will be used to create a short list of Respondents to be interviewed by the evaluation team, at the sole discretion of the District. Respondents not on the short list will not be eligible for further consideration for award.
7. Prior to the interviews (if conducted), the District will develop standard questions to ask of each presenter, which the District may or may not share with the firms prior to the interviews. The interview will be worth up to **one hundred (100)** score of **three hundred fifty (350)** points. Final average technical scores then will be recalculated.
8. After final calculation of the technical scores, the fee proposal portion will be opened and scored in accordance the with Fee Proposal standards identified above. The best value score will be determined by totaling the technical points, the interview points, and the fee proposal points (up to a total **395** maximum points.)
9. The District shall rank Proposals by best value score from the highest to lowest best value score. If the District elects to proceed with award, then, in a Notice of Intent to Award, the District shall publicly announce the rankings and scores, identify t price, and state the date of the Board meeting at which the award will be recommended.
10. Proposals will be opened privately to assure confidentiality and avoid disclosure of the contents to competing Respondents prior to and during the review, evaluation, and negotiation processes and prior to issuance of the Notice of Intent to Award. However, to the extent that the submittals are public records under California law, they may be released to members of the public if specifically requested under the California Public Records Act. The District does not intend to release any Proposals under the Public Records Act until it has completed its evaluation and announced an intent to award. If the District rejects all Proposals, then the District will not release the Proposals until after it has either elected not to proceed with the Project or has announced an intent to award a contract for the Project.
11. The District reserves the right to request additional information at any time, which, in its sole opinion, is

5. Bonding. The successful LLB Entity will be required to furnish Performance and Payment (Material and Labor) Bonds after being directed to commence construction work.
6. Limitations. This RFP does not commit the District to award a contract, to defray any costs incurred in the preparation of a Proposal pursuant to this RFP, or to procure or contract for work.

END OF RFP #478